REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data accuracy, gethering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to Depertment of Defense, Washington Headquerters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be aubject to any panelty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OM8 control number.

PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM TO THE ABOVE ADDRESS.

	TE (DD-MM-YY)	'Y) 2. REP	ORT TYPE			3. DATES COVERED (From - To)
02-	06-2009		Final Repo	ort		July 2008 - July 2009
4. TITLE AND	SUBTITLE				5a. CON	NTRACT NUMBER
Army Medical	Action Plan's	Impact on Ac	cess to Healthcare for T	RICARE		
Beneficiaries					EL CDA	ANT NUMBER
					SD. GRA	ANT NOWIDER
					5c. PRO	GRAM ELEMENT NUMBER
					5 L 220	JEOT MUNICES
6. AUTHOR(S)					5d. PRO	DJECT NUMBER
JURADO, RA	.UL E., CPT, N	IS				
		•			5e. TAS	SK NUMBER
1						
					5f. WOF	RK UNIT NUMBER
7. PERFORMIN	G ORGANIZATI	ON NAME(S) A	ND ADDRESS(ES)			8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION
	Army Medical (TO NO DILLOUIZO,			REPORT NUMBER
6900 Georgia		Jenter .				
Washington D						
l " doning ton D	2030.					
9. SPONSORIN	G/MONITORING	AGENCY NA	ME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)
	dical Departme					
			lor Program in Health &	Rusiness		
Administration		1 (/ mmy-Day	ioi i rogrami in ricaiqi c	c Dusiness		11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT
3151 Scott Ro	ad. Suite 1411					NUMBER(S)
3151 Scott Ro Fort Sam Hou		4-6135				9-09
Fort Sam Hou	ston, TX 7823		IT			1
Fort Sam Hou 12. DISTRIBUTI	ston, TX 7823	TY STATEMEN				1
Fort Sam Hou 12. DISTRIBUTI	ston, TX 7823	TY STATEMEN				1
Fort Sam Hou 12. DISTRIBUTI	ston, TX 7823	TY STATEMEN	s unlimited.	201	00	9-09
Fort Sam Hou 12. DISTRIBUTI Approved for	ston, TX 7823 ION/AVAILABILI public release;	TY STATEMEN	s unlimited.	201	00	9-09
Fort Sam Hou 12. DISTRIBUTI	ston, TX 7823 ION/AVAILABILI public release;	TY STATEMEN	s unlimited.	201	00	1
Fort Sam Hou 12. DISTRIBUTI Approved for	ston, TX 7823 ION/AVAILABILI public release;	TY STATEMEN	s unlimited.	201	00	9-09
Fort Sam Hou 12. DISTRIBUTI Approved for 13. SUPPLEMENT	ston, TX 7823 ON/AVAILABILI public release;	TY STATEMEN	s unlimited.	201	00	9-09
Fort Sam Hou 12. DISTRIBUTI Approved for 13. SUPPLEMENT 14. ABSTRACT	ston, TX 7823 ION/AVAILABILI public release; NTARY NOTES	TY STATEMEN distribution is	s unlimited.			9-09
Fort Sam Hou 12. DISTRIBUTI Approved for 13. SUPPLEMENT 14. ABSTRACT The Army Me	ston, TX 7823 ON/AVAILABILI public release; NTARY NOTES	distribution is	s unlimited.	er to resolve the	ne concer	9-09 32925 ms of wounded warriors. The AMAP
13. SUPPLEMENT 14. ABSTRACT The Army Meserved as the control of th	ston, TX 7823 ON/AVAILABILI public release; NTARY NOTES dical Action Peatalyst for sev	distribution is	vas implemented in ord	er to resolve the	he concer ards. The	9-09 32925 ms of wounded warriors. The AMAP enhanced access standards expedited
13. SUPPLEMENT The Army Me served as the cwounded warr	ston, TX 7823 ON/AVAILABILI public release; NTARY NOTES dical Action Patalyst for seviors access to 1	distribution is an (AMAP) veral directives	was implemented in order, including the enhance to intments. The impact	er to resolve the daccess stand of the enhance	ne concer ards. The	9-09 32925 ms of wounded warriors. The AMAP enhanced access standards expedited standards on non-wounded warrior
13. SUPPLEMENT The Army Me served as the cwounded warr TRICARE ber	ston, TX 7823 ON/AVAILABILI public release; NTARY NOTES dical Action Patalyst for seviors access to Ineficiaries is an	an (AMAP) veral directives tealthcare apparalyzed in this	was implemented in order, including the enhance cointments. The impact study by focusing on s	er to resolve the daccess stand of the enhance ix Army instal	ne concer ards. The ed access llations a	9-09 32925 This of wounded warriors. The AMAP en enhanced access standards expedited standards on non-wounded warrior and six provider specialty clinics. Two
13. SUPPLEMENT The Army Me served as the cwounded warr TRICARE ber periods before	ston, TX 7823 ON/AVAILABILI public release; NTARY NOTES dical Action Protections access to Ineficiaries is an and after the i	an (AMAP) veral directives lealthcare applications in this mplementation	was implemented in order, including the enhance sointments. The impact study by focusing on son of the enhanced access	er to resolve the daccess stand of the enhance ix Army installs standards we	ne concer ards. The ed access llations ar	ms of wounded warriors. The AMAP e enhanced access standards expedited standards on non-wounded warrior nd six provider specialty clinics. Two ured utilizing a Multivariate Analysis of
13. SUPPLEMENT The Army Me served as the cwounded warr TRICARE ber periods before Variance test.	ston, TX 7823 ON/AVAILABILI public release; NTARY NOTES dical Action Patalyst for seviors access to Ineficiaries is an and after the influence of the results of	an (AMAP) veral directives lealthcare applications application in this mplementation the statistical	was implemented in order, including the enhance sointments. The impact study by focusing on son of the enhanced accessanalysis determined that	er to resolve the daccess stand of the enhance ix Army instals standards we the enhance of the e	he concer ards. The ed access llations a ere meast I access s	9-09 32925 This of wounded warriors. The AMAP en enhanced access standards expedited standards on non-wounded warrior and six provider specialty clinics. Two
13. SUPPLEMENT The Army Me served as the cwounded warr TRICARE ber periods before Variance test.	ston, TX 7823 ON/AVAILABILI public release; NTARY NOTES dical Action Patalyst for seviors access to Ineficiaries is an and after the influence of the results of	an (AMAP) veral directives lealthcare applications application in this mplementation the statistical	was implemented in order, including the enhance sointments. The impact study by focusing on son of the enhanced access	er to resolve the daccess stand of the enhance ix Army instals standards we the enhance of the e	he concer ards. The ed access llations a ere meast I access s	ms of wounded warriors. The AMAP e enhanced access standards expedited standards on non-wounded warrior nd six provider specialty clinics. Two ured utilizing a Multivariate Analysis of
13. SUPPLEMENT The Army Me served as the cwounded warr TRICARE ber periods before Variance test.	ston, TX 7823 ON/AVAILABILI public release; NTARY NOTES dical Action Patalyst for seviors access to Ineficiaries is an and after the influence of the results of	an (AMAP) veral directives lealthcare applications application in this mplementation the statistical	was implemented in order, including the enhance sointments. The impact study by focusing on son of the enhanced accessanalysis determined that	er to resolve the daccess stand of the enhance ix Army instals standards we the enhance of the e	he concer ards. The ed access llations a ere meast I access s	ms of wounded warriors. The AMAP e enhanced access standards expedited standards on non-wounded warrior nd six provider specialty clinics. Two ured utilizing a Multivariate Analysis of
Fort Sam Hou 12. DISTRIBUTI Approved for 13. SUPPLEMENT 14. ABSTRACT The Army Meserved as the company with the company of t	ston, TX 7823 ON/AVAILABILI public release; NTARY NOTES dical Action Presentallyst for seviors access to Ineficiaries is an and after the ineresults of in Transition T	an (AMAP) veral directives lealthcare applications application in this mplementation the statistical	was implemented in order, including the enhance sointments. The impact study by focusing on son of the enhanced accessanalysis determined that	er to resolve the daccess stand of the enhance ix Army instals standards we the enhance of the e	he concer ards. The ed access llations a ere meast I access s	ms of wounded warriors. The AMAP e enhanced access standards expedited standards on non-wounded warrior nd six provider specialty clinics. Two ured utilizing a Multivariate Analysis of
Fort Sam Hou 12. DISTRIBUTI Approved for 13. SUPPLEMENT 14. ABSTRACT The Army Me served as the company with the company of	ston, TX 7823 ON/AVAILABILI public release; NTARY NOTES dical Action Pratalyst for seviors access to Ineficiaries is an and after the interest of in Transition T	an (AMAP) veral directives lealthcare applealyzed in this mplementation the statistical RICARE ben	was implemented in order, including the enhance sointments. The impact study by focusing on son of the enhanced accessanalysis determined that efficiary's ability to access	er to resolve the daccess stand of the enhance ix Army installs standards we the enhancedess healthcare.	he concer ards. The ed access llations are ere meass I access s	ms of wounded warriors. The AMAP enhanced access standards expedited standards on non-wounded warrior and six provider specialty clinics. Two ured utilizing a Multivariate Analysis of standards had not impacted the
Fort Sam Hou 12. DISTRIBUTI Approved for 13. SUPPLEMENT 14. ABSTRACT The Army Me served as the company with the company of	ston, TX 7823 ON/AVAILABILI public release; NTARY NOTES dical Action Pratalyst for seviors access to Ineficiaries is an and after the interest of in Transition T	an (AMAP) veral directives lealthcare applealyzed in this mplementation the statistical RICARE ben	was implemented in order, including the enhance sointments. The impact study by focusing on son of the enhanced accessanalysis determined that	er to resolve the daccess stand of the enhance ix Army installs standards we the enhancedess healthcare.	he concer ards. The ed access llations are ere meass I access s	ms of wounded warriors. The AMAP enhanced access standards expedited standards on non-wounded warrior and six provider specialty clinics. Two ured utilizing a Multivariate Analysis of standards had not impacted the
Fort Sam Hou 12. DISTRIBUTI Approved for 13. SUPPLEMENT 14. ABSTRACT The Army Me served as the company with the company of	ston, TX 7823 ON/AVAILABILI public release; NTARY NOTES dical Action Pratalyst for seviors access to Ineficiaries is an and after the interest of in Transition T	an (AMAP) veral directives lealthcare applealyzed in this mplementation the statistical RICARE ben	was implemented in order, including the enhance sointments. The impact study by focusing on son of the enhanced accessanalysis determined that efficiary's ability to access	er to resolve the daccess stand of the enhance ix Army installs standards we the enhancedess healthcare.	he concer ards. The ed access llations are ere meass I access s	ms of wounded warriors. The AMAP enhanced access standards expedited standards on non-wounded warrior and six provider specialty clinics. Two ured utilizing a Multivariate Analysis of standards had not impacted the
Fort Sam Hou 12. DISTRIBUTE Approved for 13. SUPPLEMENT 14. ABSTRACT The Army Me served as the company with the company of	ston, TX 7823 ION/AVAILABILI public release; NTARY NOTES dical Action Pratalyst for several secrets to least and after the interest and	an (AMAP) veral directives all the areal threat application of the statistical RICARE benuaries.	was implemented in ord is, including the enhance cointments. The impact study by focusing on s n of the enhanced access analysis determined that eficiary's ability to access	er to resolve the daccess stand of the enhance ix Army installs standards what the enhancedess healthcare.	he concer ards. The ed access llations a ere meast d access s	ms of wounded warriors. The AMAP e enhanced access standards expedited standards on non-wounded warrior nd six provider specialty clinics. Two ured utilizing a Multivariate Analysis of standards had not impacted the
Fort Sam Hou 12. DISTRIBUTE Approved for 13. SUPPLEMENT 14. ABSTRACT The Army Me served as the common warriers 15. SUBJECT T Army Medica 16. SECURITY	ston, TX 7823 ION/AVAILABILI public release; NTARY NOTES dical Action Prestatalyst for severiors access to Ineficiaries is an and after the interest and after the interest of in Transition Terms ERMS I Action Plan, I	an (AMAP) veral directives a lealthcare application in the statistical RICARE benung and the statistical RIC	was implemented in order, including the enhance cointments. The impact study by focusing on son of the enhanced access analysis determined that efficiary's ability to access Standards, Wounderstanding of the control	er to resolve the daccess stand of the enhance ix Army installs standards with the enhancedess healthcare.	he concer ards. The ed access llations a ere meast d access s	ms of wounded warriors. The AMAP enhanced access standards expedited standards on non-wounded warrior nd six provider specialty clinics. Two ured utilizing a Multivariate Analysis of standards had not impacted the
Fort Sam Hou 12. DISTRIBUTE Approved for 13. SUPPLEMENT 14. ABSTRACT The Army Me served as the company with the company of	ston, TX 7823 ION/AVAILABILI public release; NTARY NOTES dical Action Pratalyst for several secrets to least and after the interest and	an (AMAP) veral directives all the areal threat application of the statistical RICARE benuaries.	was implemented in order, including the enhance cointments. The impact study by focusing on son of the enhanced access analysis determined that efficiary's ability to access Standards, Wounderstanding of the control	er to resolve the daccess stand of the enhance ix Army installs standards what the enhancedess healthcare.	he concer ards. The ed access llations are ere measu d access s	ms of wounded warriors. The AMAP e enhanced access standards expedited standards on non-wounded warrior nd six provider specialty clinics. Two ured utilizing a Multivariate Analysis of standards had not impacted the

(210) 221-6443

Running head: ARMY MEDICAL ACTION PLAN'S IMPACT ON ACCESS

Army Medical Action Plan's Impact on Access to Healthcare for TRICARE Beneficiaries Graduate Management Project

CPT Raul E. Jurado

U.S. Army-Baylor University Graduate Program in Health Care Administration June 2, 2009

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank my preceptors, COL Dennis Doyle, Deputy Commander for Administration, and LTC Steven Roth, Director of Healthcare Operations, for their support and encouragement during this project. Their mentorship, expertise, and leadership greatly enhanced my ability to complete this study.

I would also like to thank Mr. Jay Thompson, Chief of Managed Care, and Mrs. Olga Lascano, Health Systems Specialist, for their efforts in obtaining the necessary data for this project and more importantly assisting me in organizing it.

I am extremely grateful for the support of Dr. Michael J. Carino and LTC Daniel J. Moroney at the Department of the Army Warrior Transition Office. Their advice and recommendations were of great value in this process.

I am very grateful to Dr. Rick G. Shoemaker, MD, MPH Statistician, of the Department of Clinical Investigations, WRAMC, for taking the time to guide and mentor me through the details of this project. Without his help and the help of those mentioned above, I'd still be writing this Graduate Management Project.

MAJ Bradley Beauvais and Dr. David Mangelsdorff, thanks for narrowing my scope, focusing my thoughts, and mentoring me through this process.

Finally, to Joseph, Raul, Jr., and Eduardo, my sons, for whom I put forth this effort in hopes they will one day follow my lead.

Abstract

The Army Medical Action Plan (AMAP) was implemented in order to resolve the concerns of wounded warriors. The AMAP served as the catalyst for several directives, including the enhanced access standards. The enhanced access standards expedited wounded warriors access to healthcare appointments. The impact of the enhanced access standards on non-wounded warrior TRICARE beneficiaries is analyzed in this study by focusing on six Army installations and six provider specialty clinics. Two periods before and after the implementation of the enhanced access standards were measured utilizing a Multivariate Analysis of Variance test. The results of the statistical analysis determined that the enhanced access standards had not impacted the non-Warriors in Transition TRICARE beneficiary's ability to access healthcare.

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements	2
Abstract	3
List of Tables.	5
Disclaimer Statements.	6
Introduction	7
Background	7
Conditions That Prompted the Study	9
Literature Review	11
Statement of the Problem	13
Methodology	
Purpose	14
Hypothesis	15
Data	15
Population	16
Results	18
Discussion	22
Limitations	23
Conclusion.	24
References	26
APPENDIX	28

List of Tables

Table 1. Multivariate Analysis of Variance for Purchased Care Costs	19
Table 2. Tukey Post Hoc Test for Bases Purchased Care	19
Table 3. Tukey Post Hoc Test for Specialty Clinics Purchased Care	20
Table 4. Multivariate Analysis of Variance for RVUs	21
Table A1. Direct Care Data Descriptive Statistics (Pre-AMAP)	28
Table B1. Direct Care Data Descriptive Statistics (Post-AMAP)	29
Table C1. Purchased Care Descriptive Statistics (Fort Sam Houston, Fort Hood, and Fort Lewis)	30
Table D1. Purchased Care Descriptive Statistics (Walter Reed, Fort Stewart, and Fort Bragg)	31
Table E1. Tukey Post Hoc Test for Bases RVUs	32
Table E2. Tukey Post Hoc Test for Specialty Clinic RVUs	32

Disclaimer

The views expressed in this study are those of the author and do not reflect the official policy or position of the Department of the Army, Department of Defense, Walter Reed Army Medical Center, or the U.S. Government.

Introduction

Background

On February 18, 2007, *The Washington Post* released an article entitled, "Soldiers Face Neglect, Frustration at Army's Top Medical Facility." The article highlighted several issues regarding outpatient wounded warriors at Walter Reed Army Medical Center (WRAMC) in Washington, D.C. The core issues identified related to substandard outpatient housing, the lack of health care management for outpatients, and the bureaucracy associated with the Medical Evaluation Boards (MEB) process. More specifically, "wounded warrior outpatients at Walter Reed encountered a messy bureaucratic battlefield nearly as chaotic as the real battlefields they faced overseas" when attempting to navigate the Medical Evaluation Boards (MEB) process (Priest & Hulle, 2007).

The article attracted national attention which served as a catalyst for numerous investigations. The most salient of these investigations was the Report of the President's Commission on Care for America's Returning Wounded Warriors, informally known as the Dole-Shalala Commission, released its findings on July 25, 2007. The Dole-Shalala Commission investigated the issues at Walter Reed and made the following six recommendations in order in order to eradicate the problems identified at WRAMC:

- 1. Modernize and improve the disability and compensation systems.
- 2. Aggressively prevent and treat post-traumatic stress disorder and traumatic brain injury.
- 3. Significantly strengthen support for families.
- 4. Immediately create a comprehensive recovery plan to provide the right care and support, at the right time, in the right place.
- 5. Rapidly transfer patient information between the Departments of Defense (DoD) and VA.

6. Strongly supporting Walter Reed by recruiting and retaining first-rate professional through 2011 (PCC, 2007).

In response to these recommendations and as directed by the Army Chief of Staff, the U.S. Army Office of the Surgeon General (OTSG) and the United States Army Medical Command (MEDCOM) established the Army Medical Action Plan (AMAP). The policy was published in Department of the Army Execution Order (EXORD) 118-07, dated June 2, 2007. The intent of the AMAP is to provide for a continuum of integrated care and services from point of injury, illness, or disease, to return to duty or transition from active duty.

In order to support the intent, the AMAP identified seven key tasks:

- 1. Empower commanders to resolve Warrior and Family issues at the lowest level possible.
- 2. Establish integrated and comprehensive continuum of care and services through the triad of care consisting of primary care manager, nurse case manager, and squad leader.
- 3. Facilitate leadership involvement at all levels of command.
- 4. Optimize Army Physical Disability Evaluation System and integrate with the Department of Veterans Affairs.
- 5. Provide requirements to the Planning, Programming, Budgeting, and Execution System to sustain operations.
- 6. Enhance Seamless Transition of Warriors in Transition by maximizing interagency and joint interoperability.
- 7. Communicate approved AMAP initiatives/programs to key audiences promoting understanding and support (HQDA, 2007a).

These seven key tasks served as the catalyst for several initiatives such as the Warrior Transition Units (WTU), the triad of support consisting of primary care manager, nurse case manager, and squad leader, the Soldier and Family Assistance Centers, and created enhanced access standards. These are examples or "quick wins" were developed in order to address the immediate needs of the Wounded Warriors.

However, these initiatives were implemented without the full understanding of the impact they would have on soldiers and their dependents not assigned or attached to the WTUs. Policies such as prioritizing the allocation of housing to Warriors in Transition per AR 210-50 and the establishment of the enhanced access standards for healthcare required that everyone within the Department of the Army make sacrifices in order to alleviate the perception of sub-standard conditions faced by the Wounded Warriors (HQDA, 2007a).

Conditions That Prompted the Study

The development and implementation of U.S. Army healthcare policies stemming from the AMAP largely addressed the issues identified by *The Washington Post* article. The AMAP's successes include the creation of the Army Physical Disability Evaluation System, which improved MEB processing times and reduced the MEB bureaucracy. Additionally, the creation of the WTUs improved command and control, continuity of care, and the transition into the force or to civilian life for the warriors in transition (WT) (Tucker, 2007). However, at present, there is no measure of these policies impact on the non-WT beneficiaries within the Military Healthcare System (MHS).

This study will focus on the impact the enhanced access standards have had on the non-WTs beneficiaries. The enhanced access standards were established under the AMAP Task 3.C.1.H.7.A and directed that wounded warriors be provided healthcare appointments at an expedited rate in comparison to other TRICARE Prime beneficiaries. The purpose of this initiative was to improve access to direct care system healthcare appointments in order to accelerate the MEB process for wounded warriors.

The non-WT TRICARE Prime beneficiaries are provided access to healthcare within the following standards:

- 24 hours for Urgent care,
- seven calendar days for Routine Care
- 28 calendar days for Specialty Care in the MTF or TRICARE network after referral by a primary care manager (Code of Federal Regulation, 2008).

The wounded warriors are provided enhanced access standards in accordance with OTSG/MEDCOM Policy Memorandum 08-028, entitled, "MEDCOM Military Treatment Facility Access Standards for Active Duty Service Members. These access standards are noticeably different than standards provided to non-WT TRICARE Prime beneficiaries. The enhanced access standards for wounded warriors are as follows:

- 24 hours for Urgent Care
- Three working days for routine primary care
- Seven working days for initial specialty care. This applies to all initial specialty care
 for the Warriors in Transition. Follow up specialty appointments do not have an
 access standard.
- Seven calendar days for diagnostic tests.
- Fourteen calendar days for non-emergent surgeries required to reach optimum medical benefit or establish fitness for duty status.
- The PCM will conduct initial evaluation screening on WTs within one working day of assignment or attachment to the WTU.

The enhanced access standards are provided to a select category of service members, specifically, Warriors in Transition, deploying service members, and post-deploying members. The WTs include soldiers who have complex medical needs requiring six months or more of treatment or rehabilitation or who require an MEB. This includes soldiers assigned to the WTUs for non-combat or non-deployment related injuries or illnesses. These soldiers, by virtue of assignment to their respective WTUs, are authorized the enhanced access standards for all of

their healthcare needs.

The enhanced access standard's intent was to improve wounded warrior MEB processing times. However, these benefit of improved processing times may come at the burden of other TRICARE beneficiaries. The enhanced access standards required the MTFs to accommodate an increase in demand for appointments without an increase in their capabilities. In order for MTFs to comply, the assumption is that access to healthcare for non-WT TRICARE Prime beneficiaries may have suffered.

This study focus evaluates the enhanced access standards potential to create ethical, access, and cost concerns for active duty service members and their dependents and all other categories of TRICARE beneficiaries.

Literature Review

Access Standards in the Military Health System are specified under Title 32, Code of Federal Regulation, Section 199.17 and supplemented by several policies directed by the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs. These access standards have multiple exceptions prioritized by healthcare services, beneficiary's proximity to assigned MTFs, and by patient category. The purpose of this literature review is to examine these exceptions and demonstrate that the enhanced access standards are part of a series of exceptions necessary to address the complexities associated with providing healthcare to those who serve in the Armed Forces.

Health Affairs Policy 06-007 defines the access standards for the MHS, however, there are multiple exceptions to these standards. Exceptions to access standards by healthcare services are not unusual. Mental health and chiropractor services are two examples of services that have altered access standards. Mental health standards are divided into three categories, Emergency,

Urgent, and Routine for initial behavioral health assessments. Emergency conditions dictate that mental health care will be provided on an immediate basis as dictated by the threat, while an Urgent condition will be provided an appointment within 24 hours. Routine conditions require that mental health care be provided within one week (Health Affairs, 2007a). Mental health is considered a specialty care service and the access standards to see beneficiaries within 28 calendar days after initial assessment still apply.

Access standards for Chiropractor services provided in the MHS are very limited. The only beneficiaries authorized this service are active duty service members. These services can only be rendered by contract personnel at MTFs (Health Affairs, 2007b). This policy prohibits all other TRICARE beneficiaries from accessing this service and as of 2007 expanded the chiropractor services to all MTFs.

Additionally, the Department of Defense (DoD) standard access standards outline the time requirements in which a beneficiary will receive an appointment. However, the exception to the access standards is based on the priority of care by beneficiary category. The beneficiary priority of care is as follows:

- 1. Group 1: Active Duty Service Members
- 2. Group 2: Active Duty Family Members and Transitional Survivors of service members who died while on active duty, who are enrolled in TRICARE Prime.
- 3. Group 3: Retirees, their dependents and Survivors who are enrolled in Prime.
- 4. Group 4: Active duty family members not enrolled in TRICARE Prime, Transitional Survivors of survivors who died on active duty not enrolled in TRICARE Prime and TRICARE Reserve Select beneficiaries.
- 5. Group 5: Retirees, their dependents and survivors who are not enrolled in TRICARE Prime.

The beneficiary priority of care policy dictates that if routine access is limited at the MTF,

it may only be limited within the scope of these priorities. So regardless of service obligation, all active duty service members must be offered access to care within standards before other beneficiary categories. Additionally, there is a special provision that includes NATO and other foreign military members in group 1 and their family members in group 2 if they are entitled to care in a MTF pursuant to an applicable international agreement (Code of Federal Regulation, 2008).

Finally, there is automatic enrollment into TRICARE Prime for dependents of active duty members in the grade of E-1 to E-4 who reside with the catchment area of a military treatment facility. (Code of Federal Regulation, 2008). This law hinders access to MTFs for TRICARE Prime beneficiaries with sponsors above E-4 based on the MTFs capabilities and E-4 and below population.

In conclusion, the MHS made numerous exceptions to access standards. As previously annotated, these exceptions are dictated by the health service, the beneficiary category, and by rank. However, with all the exceptions noted, the enhanced access standards have not been implemented outside of the Department of the Army.

Statement of the Problem

The Army Medical Action Plan, EXORD 118-07, provided the framework for which the Army would correct the alleged deficiencies at WRAMC and improve the treatment of wounded Warriors and their family members. Of the policies initiated by the AMAP, this study focuses on the OTSG/MEDCOM Policy Memorandum 08-028, entitled, "MEDCOM Military Treatment Facility Access Standards for Active Duty Service Members." This policy established the criteria for the enhanced access standards.

The enhanced access standards, as outlined previously, created standards for WTs within the Army healthcare system despite the unknown consequences that non-WT beneficiaries would bear. This study will determine if the enhanced access standards, as directed by the Army Medical Action Plan and OTSG/MEDCOM Policy Memorandum 08-028, have created healthcare access problems for the non-WT beneficiaries.

Methodology

Purpose

This case study evaluates the impact of the enhanced access standards on the non-WT TRICARE Prime beneficiaries by analyzing the direct care work load and purchased care costs before and after the implementation of the policy. The study will statistically analyze six specialty services at six Army installations utilizing the multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) test conducted as a general linear model. The units of analysis will consist of six Army medical treatment facilities in conjunction with variables consisting of the relative value units (RVUs) and purchased care costs related to non-WT TRICARE Prime beneficiaries.

RVUs measure hospital productivity for outpatient procedures. The RVU data sets have been averaged to account for RVUs per encounter for each clinic specialty included in this study.

The purchased care costs consist of the amount paid for healthcare services provided to the non-WT beneficiaries referred to the civilian healthcare network and have been adjusted to reflect the cost per encounter. The purchase care costs for Fiscal Year (FY) 2007 and 2008 have been deflated to reflect the FY 2006 cost utilizing the Consumer Price Index for Medical Care Services (USDL, 2006). The individuals referred to the civilian healthcare network were a result of the demand for appointments exceeding the MTFs' capacity, and/or the unavailability of specialty services at the health care facility to which the patient is assigned.

The purpose of evaluating the purchased care costs and RVU workload is to determine whether implementation of the enhanced access standards has required MTFs to decrease the amount of direct care services provided to non-WT TRICARE Prime beneficiaries and increase the number of referrals to the civilian healthcare network.

Hypothesis #1

The null hypothesis (H₀₁): there is no mean difference in the purchased care cost for non-WT TRICARE beneficiaries before and after the implementation of enhanced access standards. H₀₁: $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = \mu_4 = \mu_5 = \mu_6$

The alternative hypothesis (H_{a1}): there is a mean difference in the purchased care cost for non-WT TRICARE beneficiaries before and after the implementation of enhanced access standards. H_{a1} : $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2 \neq \mu_3 \neq \mu_4 \neq \mu_5 \neq \mu_6$

Hypothesis #2

The null hypothesis (H_{02}): there is no mean difference in the RVU workload for direct care provided to non-WT TRICARE beneficiaries before and after the implementation of enhanced access standards. H_{02} : $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = \mu_4 = \mu_5 = \mu_6$

The alternative hypothesis (H_{a2}): there is a mean difference in the RVU workload for direct care provided to non-WT TRICARE beneficiaries before and after the implementation of enhanced access standards. H_{a2} : $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2 \neq \mu_3 \neq \mu_4 \neq \mu_5 \neq \mu_6$

Data

The data sources are the US Army Medical Department Behavioral Health Utilization

Data System and the Medical Metrics (M2) database. This study was conducted utilizing two

data sets that consist of purchased care and RVU work load for six installations and six specialty

clinics. The data sets contained 10,549 cases for purchased care and 26,140 cases for direct care.

Each data set was divided into two periods before and after the implementation of the enhanced access standards with the dividing date being 1 July 2007. This date was selected as it provides for a 30 day period to account for the time the Army MTFs took to implement the enhanced access standards which became effective on 30 May 2007. The data sets cover three Fiscal Years (FY), FY 06, FY 07 and FY 08, and the time periods range from October 2005 to June 2007 (Pre) and July 2007 to September 2008 (Post).

Finally, the statistics are quantitative in nature, enabling statistical analysis with the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSSTM). The descriptive statistics can be found in Appendix A, B & C.

Population

The population in this study consists of all non-WT TRICARE Prime beneficiaries who are authorized healthcare services through the Military Healthcare System. The sample size consists of non-active duty TRICARE Prime beneficiaries from six Army installations who have received medical treatment in one of six specialty services between October 2005 and September 2008.

The specialty clinics examined will consist of those clinics with high utilization rates by wounded warriors. They include behavioral health, physical therapy, neurosurgery, neurology, orthopedics, and pain management.

The non-active duty TRICARE Prime beneficiaries are active duty family members, retired service members and their family members, survivors of deceased service members, authorized National Guard and their family members, and others. Others include authorized foreign nationals, government representatives authorized care, and those personnel who would otherwise not be eligible for care.

The six Army installations include Fort Bragg, North Carolina; Fort Hood, Texas; Fort Sam Houston, Texas; Fort Stewart, Georgia; Fort Lewis, Washington;, and Walter Reed Army Medical Center, Washington, D.C.

The criteria for installation selection in this study included that there was either an Army Community Hospital or Army Medical Center at each installation, the units assigned to the bases had a specific role, combat or casualty care support; and each had a Warrior Transition Unit with over 350 WTs assigned.

Four of the six installations evaluated support combatant units subordinate to the United States Forces Command (FORSCOM). FORSCOM trains, mobilizes, deploys, sustains and reconstitutes combat ready Army forces capable of responding rapidly to crises worldwide. They are responsible for over 200,000 Soldiers stationed nationwide. Their subordinate units include three Army corps – I Corps at Fort Lewis, Wash.; III Corps at Fort Hood, Texas; and XVIII Airborne Corps at Fort Bragg, N.C. FORSCOM has eight divisions, including the 3rd Infantry Division located at Fort Stewart, multiple combat brigades, and a full range of other combat, combat support and combat service support units (Campbell, 2009).

The significance of these installations in relation to this study is that they each have high deployment rates, suffered casualties in both Iraq and Afghanistan which contribute to their respective WTUs, and have experienced a deployment cycle which has caused the number of TRICARE Prime beneficiaries to fluctuate. As soldiers deploy and their family members depart the installations, the number of beneficiaries at the MTFs decreased, thus the numbers dramatically increase as the soldiers return from combat.

Walter Reed Army Medical Center and Fort Sam Houston were selected for their role in OEF and OIF casualty support. Both installations have WTUs with over 550 WTs assigned and

offer specialize care for wounded warriors. WRAMC is home to the Military Advanced Training Center which specializes in amputee care as well as over 15 other specialties focused on healing wounded warriors. Fort Sam Houston is home to the Brooke Army Medical Center, United States Army Institute of Surgical Research (ISR), and the Center for the Intrepid. The Center for the Intrepid and the ISR are dedicated to the treatment, rehabilitation, and the medical research of burn and amputee service members. Both WRAMC and BAMC receive Operation Enduring Freedom (OEF) and Operation Iraqi Freedom (OIF) medical evacuees from Landstuhl Regional Medical Center, Germany.

Results

This study analyzed the daily encounters for both RVU workload for direct care and purchased care costs for non-WT TRICARE Prime beneficiaries referred to civilian health care providers.

Purchased Care Analysis

The amount spent on purchased care is being evaluated to determine whether there is a mean difference in the purchased care cost for non-WT TRICARE Beneficiaries before and after the implementation of enhanced access standards. H_{01} : $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = \mu_4 = \mu_5 = \mu_6$

A multivariate analysis of variance conducted as a general linear model was used to evaluate the purchased care costs. The data utilized in the analysis was averaged using the pre and post time periods. The results of the analysis are reflected in Table 1.

The results for the MANOVA for the purchased care cost reflected significant effects for the base, clinic, and Pre/Post data sets with several significant interactions. As a result of the significant F statistic for several variables, a Tukey Post Hoc was conducted in order to assess the location of the differences.

Table 1.

Multivariate Analysis of Variance for Purchased Care Costs

Source	Type III Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Corrected Model					
	87748440.321(a)	71	1235893.53	23.568	0
Intercept	112655651	1	112655651	2148.26	0
Base	3069163.03	5	613832.606	11.705	0
Clinic	59423620.5	5	11884724.1	226.632	0
PrePost	2048129.39	1	2048129.39	39.056	0
Base * Clinic	7087503.5	25	283500.14	5.406	0
Base * PrePost	450853.353	5	90170.671	1.719	0.13
Clinic * PrePost	1846568.01	5	369313.602	7.043	0
Base * Clinic * PrePost	3188709.33	25	127548.373	2.432	0
Error	445796824	8501	52440.516	2.402	•
Total	671949298	8573	02170.010		
Corrected Total	533545265	8573			

a. R Squared = .164 (Adjusted R Squared = .157)

The post-hoc analysis for the bases indicated that the purchase care costs for Fort Lewis and WRAMC were similar and were significantly less than other bases. Fort Hood, Fort Sam Houston, Fort Stewart, and Fort Bragg cost were comparable.

Table 2.

Tukey Post Hoc Test for Bases Purchased Care

Base	N	2	1
WOMACK AMC-FT. BRAGG	1263	107.2966	
WALTER REED AMC-WASHINGTON DC	872	108.4103	
WINN ACH-FT. STEWART	1607		130.523
MADIGAN AMC-FT. LEWIS	1488		131.918
BROOKE AMC-FT. SAM HOUSTON	1895		135.234
DARNALL AMC-FT. HOOD	1448		135.995

c Alpha = .05

The Tukey post-hoc analysis for the bases indicated that the purchase care costs for Fort Lewis and WRAMC were similar and were significantly less than other bases. Fort Hood, Fort Sam Houston, Fort Stewart, and Fort Bragg cost were comparable.

Table 3.

Tukey Post Hoc Test for Specialty Clinics Purchased Care

				Cubant		
				Subset		
Clinic	N	2	3	4	5	1
Physical Therapy	644	16.5774				
Mental Health	2566		59.3295			
Neurology	630			129.7233		
Pain Management	3541			135.3159		
Orthopedics	638				208.9496	
Neurosurgery	554					419.0951

Alpha = .05.

The same test was run for specialty clinics which indicated that each clinic differed from each other. The range of the cost grew with the complexity of the specialty service with Physical Therapy least expensive and Neurosurgery being most.

RVU Analysis

The analysis of RVU work load by installation and provider specialty for non-WT TRICARE Prime beneficiaries was conducted in order to determine if the enhanced access standards impacted direct care at the MTFs. The statistical analysis was conducted utilizing a MANOVA to compare the periods before and after the implementation of the enhanced access standards. RVU data were formulated to reflect the ratio of RVUs per encounter and then averaged by fiscal month. The null hypothesis, H_{02} : $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = \mu_4 = \mu_5 = \mu_6$, is applicable to this element of the study.

The MANOVA determined that there were significant main effects for the bases and clinics, with significant interactions, however, there was no significant difference between the Pre vs. Post. This implies that there were no significant differences in the level of resources utilized before and after the enhanced access standards were implemented.

Table 4.

Multivariate Analysis of Variance for Purchased Care Costs

Source	Type III Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Corrected Model	3510.214(a)	70	50.15	17.72	0
Intercept	3307.31	1	3307.31	1168.94	0
PREPOST	0.39	1	0.39	0.14	0.711
Base	146.74	5	29.35	10.37	0
Clinic	1466.86	5	293.37	103.69	0
PREPOST * Base	71.69	5	14.34	5.07	0
PREPOST * Clinic	36.32	5	7.26	2.57	0.025
Base * Clinic	544.31	25	21.77	7.70	0
PREPOST * Base * Clinic	145.52	24	6.06	2.14	0.001
Error	56521.56	19977	2.83		
Total	108637.69	20048			
Corrected Total	60031.78	20047			

a R Squared = .058 (Adjusted R Squared = .055)

The Tukey Post Hoc tests for both data sets were conducted. The installation data demonstrated the RVU/encounter workload differences between post was minimal. As for specialty care, only neurology and pain management were remotely similar, each other specialty service were substantially different (Appendix D).

Discussion

The statistical analysis demonstrates that the enhanced access standards have had minimal impact on the non-WT TRICARE beneficiaries. RVU levels remained consistent in both pre and post eras. This indicates that access to healthcare for non-WTU beneficiaries remained constant despite the enhanced access standards being implemented.

As for the purchased care cost, four of the six installations have similar costs with the two outliers, WRAMC and Fort Lewis, both spending less on purchased care. This can be attributed to the availability of specialty services at both WRAMC and Madigan Army Medical Center. Both facilities are considered Echelon V facilities that provide the most definitive care, where the treatment capabilities include full rehabilitative care, tertiary-level care, and specific services available to wounded warriors. As a result of the robust tertiary services each provides they are able to minimize the number of beneficiaries referred to the civilian healthcare network.

Additionally, there are contributing factors that support the statistical analysis. These factors include the fact that the enhanced access standards had a greater impact on specialty care than any other access to healthcare standard. The specialty care access standards for TRICARE beneficiaries are 28 calendar days. Under the enhanced access standards, WTs access specialty care access standards were enhanced to seven working days. Thus far, only two Army MTFs have ever achieved a ninety percent compliance rate for the enhanced access standards for specialty care and of those two MTFs, only one has exceeded that compliance rate twice since the inception of the enhanced access standards policy (PASBA, 2009). The MTFs inability to comply with the seven working day enhanced standard for specialty care has contributed to the unburdening of the enhanced access standards on the non-WT TRICARE Prime Beneficiaries.

As for routine and the urgent care, the enhanced access standards have not had an impact on the non-WT beneficiaries even though the compliance rates have been consistently above 90% for every installation with wounded warriors (PASBA, 2009). The mandate for WTs to receive urgent care within 24 hours is consistent with all TRICARE beneficiaries and required no additional assets or adjustments in business practices in order to comply with the policy. Additionally, the Army MTFs have created primary care clinics solely responsible for providing wounded warriors routine care. Therefore access for non-WT beneficiaries' to routine and urgent care is not hindered by the enhanced access standards.

Limitations

The purpose of this study was to determine the impact of the enhanced access standards on non-WT beneficiaries. There were a number of approaches to this study that could have achieved better outcomes. However, the availability of data limited the exploration of these approaches.

First, a directive of the AMAP required that MTFs assign WTs with a special secondary Health Care Delivery Program (HCDP) Plan Coverage Code in the Defense Enrollment Eligibility Reporting System. The HCDP code designated is WII Code 415. The purpose of the WII Code 415 was to identify soldiers enrolled in WTU units and assist in tracking their progress. Further, the code assists clinics in identifying soldiers eligible for the enhanced access standards (MEDCOM, 2008). However, this code was not implemented until after July of 2008. Since the code was not implemented until after July 2008, assessing the WTs utilization of healthcare services prior to that date would require an abundant amount of resources and manpower. Thus, the inability to decipher WT soldiers from other active duty members required the exclusion of all active duty service members from this study.

Second, MTFs' access standards compliance rates are not available. In January of 2009, the TRICARE Operation Center removed the access to care reports as a result of errors in the computation process. Access to care reports prior to January 2009 will not be available until late 2009. The initial data collection for this study included the access to care reports for FY 2006 to FY 2008. The reports were intended to measure the access standards rates for non-WT beneficiaries in correlation with the implementation of the enhanced access standards. The data was not used as it was determined to be inaccurate.

Conclusion

The policy of the enhanced access standards and the Army Medical Action Plan are nearly two years old. Since their inception, there is enough data to submit that the Army has improved the healthcare experience for the WTs. However, the impact of these policies on the non-WT beneficiary population had not been measured. Thus, the intent of this study was to determine what impact, if any, the enhanced access standards have had on the non-WT beneficiaries' access to healthcare. The statistical analysis has determined that the enhanced have not impacted their access to care in the MHS.

An article released by OTSG Public Affairs office in March 2009, stated that, "Several factors have contributed to what military healthcare providers across the services acknowledge are barriers to efficient and effective Access to Care. Most notable is the high number of war wounded and injured since hostilities began more than six years ago (Vaughn, 2009)." Although the article goes on to identify other factors contributing to the MTFs access issues, this study will assist in countering the misconception that the wounded warriors and the enhanced access standards are impeding the MHS ability to meet access standards for their beneficiaries.

Wounded warriors have earned their care and the enhanced access standards. Despite the WTs small numbers in comparison to the rest of the MHS beneficiary population, Secretary of Defense Robert M. Gates said it best, "After the war itself, we have no higher priority than caring properly for our wounded."

References

- Code of Federal Regulations. (2008) Title 32. Section 199.17. Washington, DC: US Government Printing Office.
- Campbell, C. (2009). U.S. Army Forces Command (FORSCOM) Mission, Vision, and Commander's Intent. Retrieved on November 04, 2008 from: http://www.forscom.army.mil/cgwelcome/Welcome.htm
- Carino, M.J. (2009, March 16). Warrior Transition Unit Population: Monthly Census. Department of the Army Warrior Transition Office. Unpublished raw data.
- Department of Defense. (2009a, April 21). Operation Iraqi Freedom (OIF) U.S. Casualty Status. Retrieved on April 21, 2009 from: http://www.defenselink.mil/news/casualty.pdf
- Department of Defense. (2009b, April 4). Global War on Terrorism Operation Enduring Freedom, By Month, October 7, 2001 Through April 4, 2009. Retrieved on April 4, 2009 From: http://siadapp.dmdc.osd.mil/personnel/CASUALTY/oefmonth.pdf
- Department of Defense. (2009c, April 4). Global War on Terrorism Operation Iraqi Freedom, By Month, March 19, 2003 Through April 4, 2009. Retrieved on April 4, 2009 From: http://siadapp.dmdc.osd.mil/personnel/CASUALTY/oif-total-by-month.pdf:
- HQDA. (2008). Department of the Army WTU Consolidated Guidance. Retrieved December 03, 2008 from: http://www.armyg1.army.mil/wtu/docs/WTUConsolidatedGuidance Administrative.pdf
- MEDCOM. (2008, July 03). MEDCOM Military TreatmentFacility (MTF) Access Standards for Active Duty Service Members. OTSG/MEDCOM Policy Memo 08-028. Memorandum. Fort Sam Houston, TX
- Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs). (2007a, October 09). TRICARE Prime Access Standard for Mental Health Care. Health Affairs Policy 07-022. Memorandum, Washington, D.C.
- Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs). (2007b, November 09). Revision of Chiropractic Care for Active Duty Service Members of the Uniformed Services Health Affairs Policy: 03-21, Health Affairs Policy: 03-005 and HA Policy: 03-001. Health Affairs Policy 07-028. Memorandum, Washington, D.C.
- Office of the Surgeon General (OTSG). (2009). Military Healthcare System Beneficiaries. Medical Metrics (M2) Database. Unpublished raw data.

- President's Commission on Care for America's Returning Wounded Warriors (PCC). (2007). Serve, support, simplify report of the President's Commission on Care for America's Returning Wounded Warriors. Washington, D.C.
- Priest, D. & Hulle, A. (2007, February 17). Soldiers Face Neglect, Frustration at Army. *The Washington Post*, p. A01.
- Tucker, M. (2007). Army Medical Action Plan, Presented at the Overview for the ACAP Managers meeting at Walter Reed Army Medical Center, Washington D.C.
- Vaughan, C. (2009). Army Changes Access to Medical Care. Retrieved May 20, 2009 from http://usarmyimcom.blogspot.com/2009 03 01 archive.html
- United States Department of Labor (USDL). (2006). Bureau of Labor Statistics: 2006 Consumer Price Index Detailed Report Tables. Retrieved May 4, 2009, from http://www.bls.gov/cpi/cpid0612.pdf

Appendix A

Table A1 Direct Care Data Descriptive Statistics (Pre-AMAP)

Base	Clinic	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
BROOKE AMC-FT. SAM HOUSTON	Mental Health	Mean Deviation 1.53 0.87 1.32 0.85 2.50 3.97 1.61 2.38 2.06 1.16 0.92 0.28 1.59 0.81 1.44 1.15 1.22 0.81 1.84 2.67 1.52 0.83 0.80 0.33 1.50 0.86 1.41 0.68 1.61 1.68 1.20 1.57 1.82 1.39 0.80 0.34 1.54 0.86 1.28 0.72 2.83 4.15 1.94 2.76 2.54 1.35 0.87 0.33 1.91 0.78 1.02 0.92 0.47 0.47	1173	
	Neurology	1.32	0.85	185
	Neurosurgery	2.50	3.97	178
	Orthopedics	1.61	2.38	697
	Pain Management	2.06	1.16	196
	Physical Therapy	0.92	0.28	350
DARNALL AMC-FT. HOOD	Orthopedics 1.61 2.38 Pain Management 2.06 1.16 Physical Therapy 0.92 0.28 Mental Health 1.59 0.81 Neurology 1.44 1.15 Neurosurgery 1.22 0.81 Orthopedics 1.84 2.67 Pain Management 1.52 0.83 Physical Therapy 0.80 0.33 Mental Health 1.50 0.86 Neurology 1.41 0.68 Neurosurgery 1.61 1.68 Orthopedics 1.20 1.57 Pain Management 1.82 1.39 Physical Therapy 0.80 0.34 Mental Health 1.54 0.86 Neurology 1.28 0.72 Neurosurgery 2.83 4.15 Orthopedics 1.94 2.76 Pain Management 2.54 1.35	428		
	Neurology	1.44	1.15	89
	Neurosurgery	1.22		33
	Orthopedics	1.84	2.67	340
	Pain Management 2.06 1.16 Physical Therapy 0.92 0.28 Mental Health 1.59 0.81 Neurology 1.44 1.15 Neurosurgery 1.22 0.81 Orthopedics 1.84 2.67 Pain Management 1.52 0.83 Physical Therapy 0.80 0.33 Physical Therapy 0.80 0.36 Neurology 1.41 0.68 Neurology 1.41 0.68 Neurosurgery 1.61 1.68 Orthopedics 1.20 1.57 Pain Management 1.82 1.39 Physical Therapy 0.80 0.34 ASHINGTON Mental Health 1.54 0.86 Neurology 1.28 0.72 Neurosurgery 2.83 4.15 Orthopedics 1.94 2.76 Pain Management 2.54 1.35 Physical Therapy 0.87 0.33 RT Mental Health 1.91 0.78	58		
	Physical Therapy	0.80	0.33	162
MADIGAN AMC-FT. LEWIS	Mental Health	1.50	Deviation 0.87 0.85 3.97 2.38 1.16 0.28 0.81 1.15 0.81 2.67 0.83 0.33 0.86 0.68 1.68 1.57 1.39 0.34 0.86 0.72 4.15 2.76 1.35 0.33 0.78 0.92 0.47 3.57 . 0.39 0.97 0.62 2.65	1484
	Neurology	1.41	0.68	481
	Neurosurgery	1.61	1.68	137
	Orthopedics	1.20	1.57	1186
	Pain Management	1.82	1.39	201
	Physical Therapy	0.80	0.34	266
WALTER REED AMC-WASHINGTON	Mental Health	1.54	0.86	929
DC	Neurology	Mean Deviation 1.53 0.87 1.32 0.85 2.50 3.97 1.61 2.38 2.06 1.16 0.92 0.28 1.59 0.81 1.44 1.15 1.22 0.81 1.84 2.67 1.52 0.83 0.80 0.33 1.50 0.86 1.41 0.68 1.61 1.68 1.20 1.57 1.82 1.39 0.80 0.34 1.54 0.86 1.28 0.72 2.83 4.15 1.94 2.76 2.54 1.35 0.87 0.33 1.91 0.78 1.02 0.92 0.47 2.47 2.62 3.57 2.15 . 0.75 0.39 1.28 0.97 1.33<	351	
	Neurosurgery	2.83	4.15	214
	Orthopedics	1.94	2.76	802
	Pain Management	2.54	1.35	188
		0.87	0.33	476
WINN ACH-FT. STEWART		1.91	0.78	428
	Neurology	1.02	Mean Deviation 1.53 0.87 1.32 0.85 2.50 3.97 1.61 2.38 2.06 1.16 0.92 0.28 1.59 0.81 1.44 1.15 1.22 0.81 1.84 2.67 1.52 0.83 0.80 0.33 1.50 0.86 1.41 0.68 1.61 1.68 1.20 1.57 1.82 1.39 0.80 0.34 1.54 0.86 1.28 0.72 2.83 4.15 1.94 2.76 2.54 1.35 0.87 0.33 1.91 0.78 1.02 0.92 0.47 0.47 2.62 3.57 2.15 . 0.75 0.39 1.28 0.97 1.33<	33
	Neurosurgery			7
ALTER REED AMC-WASHINGTON	Orthopedics			223
	Pain Management			1
	Physical Therapy			122
WOMACK AMC-FT. BRAGG				
	Mental Health			472
	Neurology			76
	Neurosurgery			68
	Orthopedics	1.36	2.22	316
	Pain Management	2.01	1.33	78
	Physical Therapy	0.72	0.30	147

Appendix B

Table B1 Direct Care Data Descriptive Statistics (Post-AMAP)

			Std.	
Base	Clinic	Mean	Deviation	N
BROOKE AMC-FT. SAM HOUSTON	Mental Health	1.60	1.00	726
	Neurology	1.50	0.67	130
	Neurosurgery	1.87	2.19	97
	Orthopedics	1.83	2.76	480
	Pain Management	1.34	0.81	117
	Physical Therapy	1.00	0.31	255
DARNALL AMC-FT. HOOD	Mental Health	1.58	0.90	201
	Neurology	1.73	0.56	46
	Neurosurgery	0.88	0.66	7
	Orthopedics	2.05	3.46	228
	Pain Management	1.62	1.10	25
	Physical Therapy	0.73	0.30	82
MADIGAN AMC-FT. LEWIS	Mental Health	1.56	0.74	774
	Neurology	1.63	0.48	123
	Neurosurgery	1.93	2.83	93
	Orthopedics	1.95	2.68	472
	Pain Management	2.04	1.20	116
	Physical Therapy	0.89	0.37	170
WALTER REED AMC-WASHINGTON	Mental Health	1.52	0.77	638
DC	Neurology	1.13	0.58	231
	Neurosurgery	2.27	3.05	121
	Orthopedics	1.81	2.56	513
	Pain Management	2.70	1.23	123
	Physical Therapy	0.91	0.32	293
WINN ACH-FT. STEWART	Mental Health	1.65	0.77	280
	Neurology	1.00	0.83	26
	Neurosurgery	0.81	0.10	2
	Orthopedics	2.66	3.84	167
	Physical Therapy	0.86	0.35	95
WOMACK AMC-FT. BRAGG	Mental Health	1.58	1.15	311
	Neurology	1.45	1.16	58
	Neurosurgery	1.54	1.40	46
	Orthopedics	1.70	3.24	249
	Pain Management	2.00	1.22	89
	Physical Therapy	0.76	0.37	89

Appendix C

Table C1

Purchased Care Descriptive Statistics (Fort Sam Houston, Fort Hood, and Fort Lewis)

		PrePost		Std.	
Base	Clinic	Pre/Post	Mean	Deviation	N 172
BROOKE AMC-FT. SAM HOUSTON	Mental Health	Post Pre	61.70 66.72	69.70 60.46	173 252
	Neurology	Post	193.04	57.37	42
	rearcingy	Pre	134.03	82.26	63
	Neurosurgery	Post	409.71	462.71	40
		Pre	542.74	844.08	62
	Orthopedics	Post	179.51	180.30	42
		Pre	332.11	769.57	63
	Pain Management	Post	121.22	62.59	539
		Pre	130.31	75.94	511
	Physical Therapy	Post	14.66	2.12	42
DARWALL ANG ET HOOD	N 1 TY - 1/1	Pre	17.93	5.61	66
DARNALL AMC-FT. HOOD	Mental Health	Post Pre	45.99 59.20	17.82 17.56	179 244
	Neurology	Post	83.49	41.98	42
	Neurology	Pre	84.97	34.83	63
	Neurosurgery	Post	414.22	593.18	40
	1 (our obunger)	Pre	545.46	951.68	60
	Orthopedics	Post	190.03	134.57	42
	•	Pre	247.77	177.22	63
	Pain Management	Post	121.95	55.68	275
		Pre	170.09	80.38	335
	Physical Therapy	Post	16.52	1.61	42
		Pre	20.44	4.76	63
MADIGAN AMC-FT. LEWIS	Mental Health	Post	41.50	20.60	198
		Pre	53.99	18.96	252
	Neurology	Post	191.90 180.97	70.99 104.05	45 63
		Pre			
	Neurosurgery	Post Pre	288.32 690.52	346.91 1703.17	41 53
	Outlean dia				
	Orthopedics	Post Pre	238.32 296.79	133.94 208.78	45 63
	Pain Management	Post	113.43	54.50	355
	i ani ivianagement	Pre	132.20	89.43	261
	Physical Therapy	Post	13.45	4.17	45
	i nysicai inciapy	Pre	18.20	5.48	67
			- 3· - v		- ·

Appendix D

Table D1

Purchased Care Descriptive Statistics (Walter Reed, Fort Stewart and Fort Bragg)

				Std	
Base	Clinic	Pre/Post	Mean	Deviation	N
WALTER REED AMC- WASHINGTON DC	Mental Health	Post Pre	75.12 86.97	134.83 111.16	178 239
	Neurology	Post Pre	95.58 220.58	71.64 774.44	42 55
	Neurosurgery	Post Pre	292.41 212.02	637.17 447.74	25 29
	Orthopedics	Post Pre	182.55 181.71	229.91 184.41	41 63
	Pain Management	Post Pre	97.47 118.09	70.09 94.63	54 41
	Physical Therapy	Post Pre	15.69 17.07	3.43 7.37	42 63
WINN ACH-FT. STEWART	Mental Health	Post Pre	44.85 60.07	14.08 19.33	182 252
	Neurology	Post Pre	74.18 111.80	25.81 51.96	45 63
	Neurosurgery	Post Pre	316.95 458.23	232.27 403.37	45 63
	Orthopedics	Post Pre	136.49 159.20	59.09 75.83	45 63
	Pain Management	Post Pre	141.32 167.28	88.57 112.61	340 401
	Physical Therapy	Post Pre	12.90 16.80	1.51 2.63	45 63
WOMACK AMC-FT. BRAGG	Mental Health	Post Pre	49.96 58.45	37.75 27.99	186 231
	Neurology	Post Pre	80.69 98.70	36.37 84.58	45 62
	Neurosurgery	Post Pre	248.83 320.61	404.26 602.88	41 55
	Orthopedics	Post Pre	119.32 180.35	90.77 125.13	45 63
	Pain Management	Post Pre	112.63 152.38	81.49 102.90	218 211
	Physical Therapy	Post Pre	14.27 17.21	3.47 5.21	43 63

Appendix E

Table E1 Tukey Post Hoc Test for Bases RVUs

		set			
Base	N	2	3	4	1
WOMACK AMC-FT. BRAGG	1999	1.43			
MADIGAN AMC-FT. LEWIS	5503	1.46	1.46		
BROOKE AMC-FT. SAM HOUSTON	4584		1.56	1.56	
DARNALL AMC-FT. HOOD	1699		1.57	1.57	
WALTER REED AMC-WASHINGTON DC					
WINN ACH-FT. STEWART	4879			1.63	
VVIINN ACH-FT. STEVVART	1384				1.84

c Alpha = .05.

Table E2 Tukey Post Hoc Test for Specialty Clinic RVUs

				Subset		
Clinic	N	2	3	4	5	1
Physical Therapy	2507	0.86				
Neurology	1829		1.36			
Mental Health	7844			1.55		
Orthopedics				1.00		
•	5673				1.73	
Pain Management	1192					2.05
Neurosurgery	1003					2.16

c Alpha = .05.